
EU 'sleepwalked' into Ukraine crisis due to poor understanding of Russia - UK Lords

20/02/2015



The [scathing report](#) on the causes and the future of the Ukrainian debacle and Russia-West divide was prepared by the House of Lords, European Union Committee tasked with considering UK's participation in EU matters.

The report identified policies in both Russia and EU and its member states that prevented them from engaging in dialogue and building trust between each other. Europeans are particularly guilty of lacking analytical capacity to properly understand Russia and predict its responses to EU's actions.

"There has been a decline in Member States' analytical capacity on Russia. This has weakened their ability to read the political shifts and to offer an authoritative response. Member States need to rebuild their former skills," the report said.

[READ MORE: 'Beyond diplomatic ethics': Moscow rejects UK comment that Russia is threat to Baltics](#)

"There is also a reduced emphasis on the importance and role of analytical expertise in the FCO (Foreign and Commonwealth Office, UK's Foreign Ministry). The FCO should review how such skills could be renewed and how analysis can feed into decision-making processes," the report added.

As a result, *"an element of 'sleep-walking' was evident in the run-up to the crisis in Ukraine,*

and important analytical mistakes were made by the EU. Officials in Brussels as well as Member States' embassies all participate in the EU foreign policy process, but all seem to have missed the warning signs."

Russia's security concerns genuine

The Lords say Western policies towards Russia didn't acknowledge the genuine concern about the enlargement of NATO.

"For the Russians NATO is seen as a hostile military threat, and successive rounds of NATO's eastern enlargement have, as the Russians see it, brought it threateningly close to the Russian border. EU enlargement, as it has become conflated with NATO enlargement, has also taken on the aspect of a security threat," the document said.

"Russian security threat perceptions of NATO have to be acknowledged, and also challenged, in any discussions on European security," the report recommended.

Russians see the eastwards enlargement of the EU as a process parallel with NATO expansion, the report points out. So the European drive to get Ukraine into the Eastern Partnership program was viewed as not only an attack on Russian economic interests in Ukraine, but also on its national security.

The quick move towards renouncing Ukraine's military non-alliance status by the new authorities in Kiev, which took power from President Yanukovich in February 2014, strengthened this assessment.

Unrealistic expectations of Eastern Partnership

Economically there was also lack of proper communication between the EU and Moscow in the run-up to the Ukrainian crisis, the report said. The events in Ukraine were triggered by the EU Association Agreement, a free-trade treaty that Brussels wanted Kiev to sign and which the former Yanukovich government chose to postpone. Russia opposed the deal, saying it would hurt its trade with Ukraine and damage the latter's economy.

"Collectively, the EU overestimated the intention of the Ukrainian leadership to sign an Association Agreement, appeared unaware of the public mood in Ukraine and, above all, underestimated the depth of Russian hostility towards the Association Agreement," the report said, adding that Europeans *"did not connect the dots"* in this case.

[READ MORE: 5 facts you need to know about Ukraine-EU trade deal](#)

The pursuit of the agreement with Ukraine and the entire Eastern Partnership program, which is aimed in integrating Russia's neighbors closer with the EU are causing turmoil, and the EU is partly to blame, the report suggests.

"There is an unresolved tension between the offer of [EU] membership on the table to Eastern Partnership countries and the political will of Member States to follow through, which is not uniform. This creates unrealistic expectations, and complicates Russia's relationship both with these countries and with the EU," the report said. *"Member States must clarify whether EU membership is on offer."*

The British Lords acknowledge that "Moscow has a right not to be excluded from the eastern neighborhood" and recommended that the EU engaged "in a fundamental reassessment of their strategic interests" there.

Long-term sanctions withdrawal required

The report approved EU's sanction policy towards Russia over the Ukrainian crisis, but says a proper long-term exit strategy is needed.

[READ MORE: 'Strategic rift': Hungary PM criticizes EU partners trying to isolate Moscow](#)

"There is no evidence that sanctions have caused [Russian] President Putin to shift his stance on Crimea, where Russia has direct and vital security interests through the Sevastopol naval base," the document states.

"In the long term, three-tier sanctions are detrimental to the EU's interests as well as to Russia's. While they could be renewed in the short term, the prospect of the progressive removal of sanctions should be part of the EU's negotiating position. Genuine progress by Russia in delivering the ceasefire in eastern Ukraine should be the basis for ratcheting down sanctions."

The report suggests that resolving the legal status of Crimea should be postponed until more urgent matters of stopping the violence in Ukraine and helping its ailing economy are addressed.

[READ MORE: France should recognize Crimea as part of Russia – Le Pen](#)

"The possibility of another referendum on Crimea, under international mediation, is one option," the report said. "We recognize that there is a danger that any such referendum would be colored by Russia's domination of the political and media landscape in Crimea. It is critical that there should be an open and honest debate, and that citizens should vote without fear of reprisal. Nevertheless, this option should remain on the table."

On a wider scale, the report suggests tackling some the issues in the EU that only aggravate the rift with Russia. One of them is *"the historical grievance of the rights of ethnic Russians in Estonia and Latvia"* that *"seem to be a question to be investigated"* by the EU. Another is the *"events which commemorate our shared pan-European history,"* which, according to the report *"should stand separate from international disputes."*
