

U.S.: making lies official

By: Arnaldo Musa 12/05/2022



Seriously, the U.S. — and the U.S. current administration— has no need to stage a "ministry of truth," the derogative nickname used to identify President Joe Biden's creation of the Disinformation Governance Board, aiming at refuting allegedly lies from Russia, although it also has other targets, those not sharing the U.S. view.

Within the United States, voices rejecting a form of government where surveillance and thought control prevail, have emerged.

This is undoubtedly a sign that "something is wrong" within the Empire involving the Russian military operation in Ukraine, where the establishment has done whatever they please so that all of its "servants" join the thousands of sanctions against Moscow.

Yes, something is wrong when, amid this chaos related to the anti-Russian sentiment, not-easyto-silence voices arise, asking Biden to stop sending weapons to Ukraine and resolve the situation through diplomatic channels, refraining from foolish actions that could lead to a nuclear war.

It is not the first time that we address the issue because the imperial information of what is happening in Ukraine is actually released by the vast majority of Western media, which ignore the Russians' view of facts or misrepresent it or disguise it with some lies. The paradox is that they have stigmatized Russia Today and Sputnik, among other Moscow media, if they expose the facts from the opposing side, before proceeding to refute them, which, regardless of whether they are part of the opposing group.

Sometimes, as can be seen on **Actualidad.rt.com**, this media allows the enemy to share its opinion and users can draw their own conclusions.

The "ministry of truth" created by Biden and chaired by a Democrat, something that Republicans do not see favorably, is attached to the Department of Homeland Security, and would be in charge of "refuting" the alleged disinformation on various issues, such as migration on the border with Mexico or news coming from Russia. That is what was officially said.

Reactions have been immediate. Someone as untrustworthy as Florida Governor Ron DeSantis stated that "what they want to do is put out false narratives without people being able to speak up or fight back." He mentioned that the goal is to "advocate closures due to COVID-19" or defend the closure of schools without supporting evidence, which also reveals the stubborn character of the discrepant individual.

The decision of the Democratic Administration is alarming, because there have been similar examples of the use of propaganda for political and ideological purposes throughout history. This violates in every possible way the right to free thought.

## WHEN INJUSTICE IS PLANNED

If anyone remembers that Adolf Hitler used it through his propaganda machine supervised by Joseph Goebbels, it should be known that these situations occur when the U.S. prepares for a full-scale aggression — always against small nations — such as that of 2003 against Irak, with the false excuse they owned nuclear weapons, under one of the most reactionary Republican presidents ever.

Everything was carefully planned, with well-targeted propaganda, and not a single media outlet, including the "unbiased" **New York Times** and **The Washington Post**, echoed it, until the beginning of the aggression, invasion, and occupation. Everything was portrayed as a "comic" on American television.

Those "beautiful" lights falling on Baghdad were Tomahawk missiles, one of which, in the first few minutes of the aggression, managed to hit a bunker and killed mothers with their children, nearly 300 people.

That is what the US media is for, disinformation wizards that are only "unbiased" to remove the dirty laundry from the factions that are vying for power, empowering some to the detriment of others.

Another eye-popping point within the Democratic announcement is the person who will chair the new Disinformation Governance Board. This is Nina Jankowicz, listed as an "internationally recognized expert on disinformation and democratization." She is associated with the Democratic Party and has conducted studies on "sexualized misinformation."

She previously came to say that "gender misinformation" is a "national security problem" that, moreover, could prevent women from running for office. She produced a report in which she portrayed "the mockery" against Vice President Kamala Harris during the 2020 presidential election. She is also an advocate for figures such as Congresswomen Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez and Ilhan Omar, known to represent the less reactionary spectrum.

Then, many believe that the U.S. is witnessing the birth of its own "ministry of truth." Jankowicz asserts that the Board's role is to "uphold the Department's commitment to protecting freedom

of expression, privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties."

And it is no coincidence that the announcement occurs in the days following the purchase of Twitter by billionaire businessman Elon Musk. It is not a secret that from the Democratic Party, people are increasingly establishing the request for regulation of digital platforms, arguing "threats" and "concerns."

"Now that the Biden regime has a Ministry of Truth, what next? Re-education camps?" asked Congresswoman Marjorie Taylor Greene, not at all out of context, as there are strong disputes in American schools that seek to teach critical race theory against the will of parents.

Representative Lauren Boebert casts doubts upon a real understanding of the seriousness involved in such a creation.

As it can be seen, most of people opposing this new ministry do it from the domestic perspective, although it cannot be ignored that it occurs when the information coming from the Russian side about what is happening in Ukraine increasingly transcends.

The Department of Homeland Security enhances its efforts to counteract what they call disinformation coming from Russia on the battlefield, where not adverse entities such as American Microsoft News, French AFP and Spanish EFE, have aired the statements made by the military spokesmen of Moscow, indicating superior credit to official Ukrainian sources.

Translated by Sergio A. Paneque Díaz / CubaSí Translation Staff