

French Professor and Writer Warns of US Position against Cuba

23/08/2019



The policy of hostility against Cuba by the US government is based on criteria that condemn it to failure, warned French academician and essay writer Salim Lamrani there Thursday.

In an interview with Prensa Latina, Lamrani, a French with experience on studies about Cuba and its society, history and relations with the northern neighbor explained that Washington's position appeals to the confrontation, even with its allies, in the attempt to destroy the Cuban Revolution.

According to the professor at the University of La Reunion, located in the French overseas department with the same name, in the case of the administration of President Donald Trump, the actions against the Caribbean country are framed in their erratic behavior.

In his opinion, the United States continues to demonstrate that 'it suffers from that inability to accept the reality of a free and independent Cuba.'

Since his arrival in the White House, in January 2017, Trump has opted for the resurgence of the economic, commercial and financial blockade imposed almost six decades ago, with the use of unilateral sanctions and the escalation in the extraterritorial component of the fence.

Particular aggressiveness represents the activation this year of Title III of the Helms-Burton Act of 1996, section that seeks to prevent the access of Cuba to the necessary for its foreign investment development, within the objective of choking it to achieve the change of regime.



French Professor and Writer Warns of US Position against Cuba Published on Cuba Si (http://cubasi.cu)

Regarding this, Lamrani said that Washington does not cease to ignore the fundamental principle of the right of peoples to self-determination, and 'the United States must accept that the destiny of Cuba, its system and its orientation are the exclusive powers of Cubans.'

For the academician, another aspect to consider in the analysis of the hostile behavior of the superpower towards the small island is the use of an unbelievable rhetoric to justify it.

'The reality is different: Washington does not accept that a small country decides to take to the letter an inalienable right of humanity, which is to decide its own destiny,' he said.